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MISSION: *Science Immunology* publishes original, peer-reviewed, science-based research articles that report critical advances in all areas of immunological research, including important new tools and techniques. *Science Immunology* holds articles to the same high quality standard that is the hallmark of the *Science* family of journals.

CRITERIA FOR JUDGMENT

**Research Articles** should report a major advance in any area of immunology or related fields. Interdisciplinary papers are especially welcome.

**Overall Recommendation:** On the basis of the mission statement above, recommend whether the paper should be published in *Science Immunology* and provide a more detailed critique based on the following:

1. **Importance:** Evaluate whether the conclusions are sufficiently important to represent substantial progress in immunological research.

2. **Technical Rigor:** Evaluate whether, or to what extent, the data and methods substantiate the conclusions and interpretations. If appropriate, indicate what additional data and information are needed to validate the conclusions or support the interpretations.

3. **Novelty:** Indicate in your review whether the conclusions are novel and how they relate to work already published.

**Length:** Research Articles may be up to 8 printed pages (6000–8000 words).

**Supplementary Material:** Supplementary material can include methods, text, data, audio, video, or other files that are of interest to the reader and necessary for the integrity and excellence of the paper. It must be directly related to the conclusions of the paper.

Should this manuscript be accompanied by a Perspective?

Perspectives are short analyses of current research published in *Science Immunology* or elsewhere. If you feel that this work deserves such a discussion for a broad audience, please include a note to the editor in your confidential comments and provide recommendations for Perspective authors.

**Conflict of Interest:** If you cannot judge this paper impartially, please notify us immediately. If you have any financial or professional affiliations that may be perceived as a conflict of interest in reviewing the manuscript, describe these as indicated on our online review form.

**Confidentiality:** We expect reviewers to protect the confidentiality of the manuscript and ensure that it is not disseminated or exploited. Please destroy your copy of the manuscript when you are finished with your review. Only discuss the paper with a colleague with permission from the editor. We do not disclose the identity of our reviewers.

**Security:** We ask reviewers to inform us if they have concerns that release of this paper may pose a danger to public health, safety, or security. Such concerns will be brought to the attention of the Chief Scientific Advisor for further evaluation.

Returning your review: Please return your review through our *Science* Journals Manuscript Submission and Information Portal at [https://cts.sciencemag.org](https://cts.sciencemag.org). Log in to your *Science* Journals account to access the paper and the review form. If necessary, we can also receive reviews by email at sciimmunoeditors@aaas.org.

Final selection is based on relative quality of papers rather than absolute merit and is constrained by available space in *Science Immunology* and our commitment to balance subject matter.