

MISSION: *Science Immunology* publishes original, peer-reviewed, science-based research articles that report critical advances in all areas of immunological research, including important new tools and techniques. *Science Immunology* holds articles to the same high quality standard that is the hallmark of the *Science* family of journals.

CRITERIA FOR JUDGMENT

Perspectives are short (1000–2000 words) overviews of current research findings, intended for a broad audience of clinicians and scientists. They may accompany and discuss a paper in *Science Immunology*, discuss work published elsewhere, or describe results presented at a workshop or conference. Perspectives should add a fresh dimension to the topic and not merely summarize results or papers.

Overall Recommendation: On the basis of the mission statement above, recommend whether the Perspective should be published in *Science Immunology* and provide a critique based on:

- 1. Importance.** Please evaluate to what extent the synthesis in the Perspective is broadly important to the progress of immunological research or provides needed insight on a topic. Perspectives should explain both the basic science underlying the results under discussion and a clear description of the problem addressed by the new findings. Perspectives are not a venue for an author to promote his or her own work; authors may refer to their own papers, but this should not be the focus of the Perspective. Perspectives may contain opinion but should provide a balanced view; statements of fact should be supported by references.
- 2. Clarity of Presentation.** The arguments should flow logically and be supported by references or data.
- 3. Figure.** The figure should illustrate the essential message of the Perspective. The aim is to catch the eye while informing the reader. Complex and detailed diagrams, tables, and graphs are to be avoided.
- 4. References and Length.** Perspectives should be between 1000 and 2000 words, plus one or two figures. The reference list should emphasize the most recent literature and information. There should be no more than 15 references.

Conflict of Interest: If you cannot judge this paper impartially, please notify us immediately. If you have any financial or professional affiliations that may be perceived as a conflict of interest in reviewing the manuscript, describe those in your confidential comments.

Confidentiality: We expect reviewers to protect the confidentiality of the manuscript and ensure that it is not disseminated or exploited. Please destroy your copy of the manuscript when you are finished with your review. Only discuss the paper with a colleague with permission from the editor. We do not disclose the identity of our reviewers.

Security: We ask reviewers to inform us if they have concerns that release of this paper may pose a danger to public health, safety, or security. Such concerns will be brought to the attention of the Chief Scientific Advisor for evaluation.

Returning your review: Return your review online at <http://cts.sciencemag.org>. **Login by using the user name and password sent to you by your editor.** You should type your review in a word processor and paste it into the Web review form; typing on the Web form is lost if the session times out. We can also receive reviews by email at sciimmunoleaders@aaas.org.

*Final selection is based on relative quality of papers rather than absolute merit and is constrained by available space in *Science Immunology* and our commitment to balance subject matter.*