

Science Immunology

Information for Reviewers of Commentaries

MISSION: *Science Immunology* publishes original, peer-reviewed, science-based research articles that report critical advances in all areas of immunological research, including important new tools and techniques. *Science Immunology* holds articles to the same high quality standard that is the hallmark of the *Science* family of journals.

CRITERIA FOR JUDGMENT

Commentaries present an overview or an analysis of a current issue of interest to the immunology community. They can discuss regulatory, policy, legislative, funding, or economic topics. Opinion is welcome, but other views should be acknowledged.

Overall Recommendation: On the basis of the mission statement above, recommend in your review whether the Commentary should be published in *Science Immunology* and provide a critique based on the following:

- 1. Importance.** Evaluate to what extent the synthesis, argument, or analysis is broadly important to the progress of translational medicine and whether it provides needed insight to the community.
- 2. Novelty.** Assess whether the Commentary provides an original idea or synthesis. If it is not completely original, will the community benefit by its presentation in a widely available, accessible format? Are previous authors properly credited?
- 3. Clarity of Presentation.** The arguments should flow logically and be supported by references or data.
- 4. References and Length.** Commentaries should be between 1000 and 3000 words long plus one or two figures. The reference list should emphasize the most recent literature and information. There should be no more than 15 references.

Conflict of Interest: If you cannot judge this paper impartially, please notify us immediately. If you have any financial or professional affiliations that may be perceived as a conflict of interest in reviewing the manuscript, describe those in your confidential comments.

Confidentiality: We expect reviewers to protect the confidentiality of the manuscript and ensure that it is not disseminated or exploited. Please destroy your copy of the manuscript when you are done. Only discuss the paper with a colleague with permission from the editor. We do not disclose the identity of our reviewers.

Security: Please inform us if you have concerns that release of this Commentary may pose a danger to public health, safety, or security. Such concerns will be brought to the attention of the Chief Scientific Advisor for further evaluation.

Returning your review: Return your review online at <http://cts.sciencemag.org>. **Login by using the user name and password sent to you by your editor.** We recommend that you type your review in a word processor and paste it into the Web form; typing on the Web form will be lost if the session times out. We can also receive reviews by email at sciimunoeditors@aaas.org.

*Final selection is based on relative quality of papers rather than absolute merit and is constrained by available space in *Science Immunology* and our commitment to balance subject matter.*