



Information for Reviewers of Policy Forums

SCIENCE'S MISSION: *Science* seeks to publish those papers that are most influential in their fields and that will significantly advance scientific understanding. Selected papers should present novel and broadly important data, syntheses, or concepts. They should merit the recognition by the scientific community and general public provided by publication in *Science*, beyond that provided by specialty journals.

CRITERIA FOR JUDGMENT

Policy Forums present analyses of the policy implications of recent scientific results or studies or discuss the intersection of science and society. Opinion is acceptable but other views should be acknowledged.

Overall Recommendation: On the basis of the mission statement above, recommend in your review whether this Policy Forum should be published in *Science* and provide a critique based on the following:

Impact and novelty: Evaluate to what extent the synthesis, arguments, or analysis are broadly important and provide needed and novel insight on a topic relevant to policy makers. The arguments should flow logically and be supported by references or data, and represent an original synthesis.

Figure or Table: A useful figure or table should be included to represent, summarize, or illustrate the analysis.

References: The reference list should be no more than 15 items, emphasizing the most recent literature.

Supporting Online Material. Supporting online material includes methods, text or data that is of interest only to the specialist, but that is still necessary for the integrity and excellence of the paper. It must be directly related to the conclusions of the print paper. We welcome suggestions for deletions of supporting online material or items that should be moved to supporting online material.

Length: Policy Forums may be 1 or 2 printed pages (1000 or 2000 words plus one figure).

The final selection is based on relative quality of papers rather than absolute merit and is constrained by limitation of space in the magazine and our commitment to balance subject matter.

Security: We ask reviewers to inform us if they have concerns that release of this paper may pose a danger to public health, safety, or security. Such concerns will be brought to the attention of the Editor-in-Chief for further evaluation.

Conflict of Interest: If you cannot judge this paper impartially, please notify us immediately. If you have any financial or professional affiliations that may be perceived as a conflict of interest in reviewing the manuscript, please describe those in your confidential comments.

Confidentiality: We expect reviewers to protect the confidentiality of the manuscript and ensure that it is not disseminated or exploited. Please destroy your copy of the manuscript when you are done. Only discuss the paper with a colleague with permission from the editor. We do not disclose the identity of our reviewers.

Returning your review: Please return your review using our form at <http://www.submit2science.org/mtsreferee>. Use the user name and password sent to you by the editor to login to the site. We recommend that you type your review in a word processor and paste it into the review form; any typing on the WWW form will be lost after the 90-minute session times out. We can also receive reviews by email to science_reviews@aaas.org or by FAX to 202-408-1256.

Headquarters

1200 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 USA • Telephone: (+1) 202-326-6550 • Fax (+1) 202-408-1256

Europe Office

Bateman House, 82-88 Hills road, Cambridge CB2 1LQ, UK • Telephone: (+44) 1223-326500 • Fax: (+44) 1223 326501

Published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science